
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology 2019-2020 Spring Quarter Course Evaluations (COVID-19)



Course: 1920SECONS399-01: Economic Development Spring Quarter - 2019-20


Instructor: MD Tanvir Pavel *
Response Rate: 6/6 (100.00 %)




2 - Explain why your learning was at this level.

Response Rate 5/6 (83.33%)

- Lectures were often just power point slides. However, this was meant to be a very interactive course, so I understand quarantine made that difficult.
- · The material that was taught in the class was useful.
- We had to write and summarized papers about topics we covered during class.
- Sycronous lectures over Skype were difficult to pay attention to and stay engaged in. Shorter recoded bits would have been better.






Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology 2019-2020 Spring Quarter Course Evaluations (COVID-19)



Course: 1920SECONS399-01: Economic Development Spring Quarter - 2019-20

Instructor: MD Tanvir Pavel *
Response Rate: 6/6 (100.00 %)



6 - Describe one or more strengths of this course.

Response Rate 3/6 (50%)


- Interesting topics and I feel as though I have grown a lot in my ability to write a research paper.
- It gives us an introduction into the economic stability and situation of developing countries places that are usually overlooked.
- It opened my eyes to some of the hardships that developing countries have to face.

7 - Describe one or more ways this course can be improved.

Response Rate

- 4/6 (66.67%)
- \bullet Less power point slide lecturing (at least not every class for an hour).
- If the exams and quizzes were more centered around the slides and textbooks.
- I can't think of one.
- · Give several examples so that student would understand more.

8 - MD Tanvir Pavel was we	ell prepared	for class.	-													
Response Option	Wei	ght Fre	quency	Percent	Р	ercent R	esp	onses	Means							
Strongly Agree		(5)	0	0.00%	1				4.00		4.54	4.43			
Agree		(4)	6	100.00%					1.00						
Neither Agree nor Disagree		(3)	0	0.00%											
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%											
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%											
			•		•	0	25	50	100	Questio	n	Department	Institute			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Mediar	1	Department		Mean		STD	Median		Institute	Mean	S	TD	Median
6/6 (100.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00		517		4.54		0.73	5.00		4458	4.43	0.	.76	5.00




Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology 2019-2020 Spring Quarter Course Evaluations (COVID-19)



Course: 1920SECONS399-01: Economic Development Spring Quarter - 2019-20

Instructor: MD Tanvir Pavel *
Response Rate: 6/6 (100.00 %)



11 - MD Tanvir Pavel seemed genuinely interested in teaching this subject															
Response Option	Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	P	ercent R	es	oonses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	1	66.67%				1	4.67		4.73	4.58	1	
Agree		(4)	2	2	33.33%										
Neither Agree nor Disagree		(3)	C)	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	C)	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	C)	0.00%	1									
		'	'			0	25	50	100	Questio	n	Department	Institute		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean	Т	STD	Median		Institute	Mean	STD	Median
6/6 (100.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00		517		4.73		0.57	5.00		4458	4.58	0.67	5.00

12 - Please rate MD Tanvir Pavel's overall performance in this class														
Response Option	Weight	Frequenc	y Percent	Per	rcent R	espo	nses	Means						
Excellent		(5)	0	0.00%	1						4.43	4.28		
Very Good		(4)	2	33.33%					3.17	_				
Satisfactory		(3)	3	50.00%										
Fair		(2)	1	16.67%										
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
				•	0	25	50	100	Question	ı	Department	Institute		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Vledian	Department		Mean		STD	Median		Institute	Mean	STD	Median
6/6 (100.00%)	3.17	0.75	3.00	517		4.43	().85	5.00		4458	4.28	0.91	5.00

13 - Explain why you gave MD Tanvir Pavel this rating									
Response Rate	Response Rate 2/6 (33.33%)								
He was a good professor, and his methods of teaching is very unique.									

He taught the material really well and gave us assignments that reinforced the material.